

STANFORD UNIVERSITY



Using location to see!

Amir R. Zamir



Phone + Camera!

0

O

Phone + Camera + GPS!

Phone + Camera + GPS!



Vision + Location!

Why not just camera? Why not just GPS?



Vision + Location!











Geo-localization







Where the user have visited?





Input Video

Desired output

Where the user have visited?



Object Detection

Conventional Object Detection



Not limited to mobile devices



Geographical Data Organization



Bruegger's Bagel25 Market SquarePittsburgh, PA 15222User Rating: 5/5Nicholas Coffee Co.23 Market SquarePittsburgh, PA 15222User Rating: 4/5

Assisted Content Analysis on Mobile Devices

Building 3D models [59]

Geo-spatial Perspective

- Three fundamental questions in Geo-spatial Analysis of Ground Level Images and Videos:
 - 1) How to automatically geo-localize images and videos?
 - 2) How to refine the geo-location of already geo-tagged data?
 - 3) How to utilize the geo-location in content analysis?

Automatic Geo-localization:

- Image geo-localization using Generalized Graphs
- Video Geo-localization and trajectory extraction
- Robust Refinement of geo-location using Random Walks
- Location-Aware image understating:
 - Location-aware object detection
 - Precise recognition of storefronts in images

Paper:

Image Geo-localization Based on Multiple Nearest Neighbor Feature Matching Using Generalized Graphs. In **T-PAMI**, 2014.

"Where Am I?"

> Problem:

Accurate Image Localization

Input



Mere Visual Information (Images)

Output



Location in Terms of λ (Lon.) and ϕ (Lat.)

"Where Am I?"

> Problem:

Accurate Image Localization

Input



Mere Visual Information (Images)

Output



Location in Terms of λ (Lon.) and φ (Lat.) φ =40.4419, λ =-79.9986

Google Maps Street View Dataset



332 6th Ave, Pittsburgh, PA φ=40.4418, λ=-79.9987

Google Maps Street View Dataset



332 6th Ave, Pittsburgh, PA φ=40.4418, λ=-79.9987

Street View Dataset



Reference Images Place Marks

Query Images

Pittsburgh, PA

Ambiguity of local features

4111D



Ambiguity of local features





- common in urban area
- Disambiguation using global features:
 - Global Color Histogram
 - GIST
 - Geo-tags

Using Multiple Nearest Neighbors



Using Multiple Nearest Neighbors



Using Multiple Nearest Neighbors



Generalized Minimum Clique Problem



• Clusters of nodes.

Generalized Minimum Clique Problem



- Clusters of nodes.
- GMCP picks exactly one node out of each cluster
- The cost of the clique is minimized.

Forming Input Graph to GMCP



K-partite Complete Input Graph



K-partite Complete Input Graph

$$\varpi(v_m^i) = \|q^i - \zeta(v_m^i)\|_l$$

$$w(v_m^i, v_n^j) = \|\rho(v_m^i) - \rho(v_n^j)\|_g$$

Generalized Minimum Clique



Generalized Minimum Clique



Subset of NNs with maximum agreement in local and global features

From feature correspondences to GPS location

Query + SIFT features


From feature correspondences to GPS location



Geo-localization Results





Match – Error: 7.6 m





Match – Error: 6.9 m





Match – Error: 308.1 m



Match – Error: 59.3 m

Geo-localization Results







Match – Error: 6.4 m





Match – Error: 199.8 m

Geo-localization Results



Automatic Geo-localization:

- Image geo-localization using Generalized Graphs
- Video Geo-localization and trajectory extraction
- Robust Refinement of geo-location using Random Walks
- Location-Aware image understating:
 - Location-aware object detection
 - Precise recognition of storefronts in images

Paper:

City Scale Geo-spatial Trajectory Estimation of a Moving Camera, In **CVPR**, 2012.

How about Videos?!







Our Approach



Segment Geo-Localization



Segment Geo-Localization





• Enforces temporal consistency.

• Enforces temporal consistency.

$$p(\mathbf{x}_t | Z_t) = \frac{p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{t-1})}{c}$$

- State (unobserved) x = [lat , long]
- Measurement (observed) z



Likelihood (Current Segment)

$$p(\mathbf{x}_t | Z_t) = \frac{p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t)}{c} \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{t-1})}{c}$$

Prediction of the state from the previous state



Prediction





(Current Segment)

(previous Segment)



MST-based Trajectory Reconstruction



Geo-localization of a YouTube Video



- Automatic Geo-localization:
 - Image geo-localization using Generalized Graphs
 - Video Geo-localization and trajectory extraction

Robust Refinement of geo-location using Random Walks

- Location-Aware image understating:
 - Location-aware object detection
 - Precise recognition of storefronts in images

Paper:

GPS-Tag Refinement using Random Walks with an Adaptive Damping Factor. In **CVPR**, 2014.

Why GPS-tag Refinement?

- What if the image is already geo-tagged?
 - Internal GPS, WPS, Cell Signal Positioning, Manual tagging.
 - Known issue: error in user shared geo-tags
 - Mean=428 meters in 20% of data.



Block Diagram



Image Matching













Trifocal Tensor





Estimations on Map (ENU Metric System)



Trifocal Tensor



Estimations on Map (ENU Metric System)



Trifocal Tensor





Estimations on Map (ENU Metric System)







Random Walks on GPS estimations



Refined Location Estimation

• Weighted mean using Stationary relevance scores: $\underline{\lambda}$





Experimental Results

- 18075 User shared Images. (Flicker, Panoramio, Picasa)
- From San Francisco, CA; Pittsburgh, PA and Washington, DC.
- Test Set: 500 randomly selected subset.



Robustification Test

- Larger amount of noise in the input:
- Adaptive Damping Factor was used. •

Additional 200m Error





- Automatic Geo-localization:
 - Image geo-localization using Generalized Graphs
 - Video Geo-localization and trajectory extraction
- Robust Refinement of geo-location using Random Walks
- Location-Aware image understating:
 - Location-aware object detection
 - Precise recognition of storefronts in images

Paper:

GIS-Assisted Object Detection and Geospatial Localization. In *ECCV*, 2014.

Location-aware Image Understanding

- Geo-localization:
 - Estimate the location of the image/video.
- Geo-tag is known?
 - Location-aware Image Understanding.
 - Most images will be geo-tagged in the future.
 - Particularly important for real world applications.

GIS Dataset

- e.g. Washington D.C.
 - Lamp posts



GIS Dataset

- e.g. Washington D.C.
 - Lamp posts
 - Fire Hydrants



GIS Dataset

- Locations of most stationary objects are documented!
- e.g. Washington D.C.
 - Buildings, Foliage, Road signs, ATMs, Fire Hydrants, Lamp posts, Cell/FM towers, Traffic Lights, Bus/subway stations, Trash cans.



Fusion of Image content and GIS



Object Detectors

GIS

Location-aware Object Detection



Obtaining Priors from GIS

Camera View



All Projections



Non-occluded Projections






Higher Order Graph Matching



Query Image



DPM Results

Loose Threshold

Tuned Threshold

Strict Threshold





GIS Projections

DPM Results



Non Occluded GIS Projections

DPM Results



Non Occluded GIS Projections

Our Results



Traffic Signal, Street Light, and Fire Hydrant are detected successfully.

Non Occluded GIS Projections

Our Results



DPM Results (Tuned Threshold)

Our Results



Quantitative Object Detection Results



Inverse of this process?!

- Geo-localization using the generic objects?!
 - Cue #1: 2×lamp posts, 1×trash can, 1×fire hydrant.
 - Cue #2: their geometric arrangement.



Geospatial Localization using Generic Objects



Geometry Preserving Score(Graph Matching)

Geospatial Localization

Example 1

Query Image



Object Detection Bounding Boxes



Trash Can

Bus Stop

Fire Hydrant

Street Light

Traffic Sign

Traffic Signal



The Correct Location Retrieved as Rank 1





The subset of matching object detections and GIS projections are highlighted.

The Correct Location Retrieved as Rank 1





High Score in Presence of Objects: lots of objects in common

High Score in Geometry: Geometric arrangement of objects preserved

The Correct Location Retrieved as Rank 1



- Automatic Geo-localization:
 - Image geo-localization using Generalized Graphs
 - Video Geo-localization and trajectory extraction
- Robust Refinement of geo-location using Random Walks
- Location-Aware image understating:
 - Location-aware object detection
 - Recognition of storefronts in images

Paper:

Visual Business Recognition - A Multimodal Approach. In **ACM** *Multimedia*, 2013.

Visual Business Recognition



Bruegger's Bagel

25 Market Square Pittsburgh, PA 15222

User Rating: 5/5

Nicholas Coffee Co. 23 Market Square Pittsburgh, PA 15222 User Rating: 4/5

Tavern,

24 Market Square Pittsburgh, PA 15222

User Rating: 2/5

Block Diagram



Business Lexicon

An over-complete list of nearby businesses
– Yelp, Yellow Pages, City Grid, etc.



Gentle Star Medspa, San Francisco Provident Loan Association, Walt Disney Concert Hall, Occasional Occasions, Casa de Campo, Golden Crown Paradise Resort, Great Parnassus Resort & Spa, Ketler Cleaning Service ,Harden Yacht Services, Dicks Auto, The Law Offices of Andrew Gebelt, Will's Handyman, **Verizon Wireless,** Photography Woomer & Hall LLP, Apprehensive Patient Dental Office, Super 8 Motel Sun Prairie 1-877-8-Dump-It Inc, Cvs Pharmacy Ray & Mari's Cleaning Service The Law Offics Of American Wills & Estates, Laurie, Mission St. Advisors, Everlasting, Ayoub Properties...

Text Processing



Image Matching

- The image might not have text.
- Too complicated/cluttered text.
- Many *relevant* images available on the web



Image Matching

• Business Lexicon as key words

PRIMANTI BROS

SUBWAY

Nicholas Coffee

National City

JACKSON HEWITT "business name", "business name+city", "business name+storefront".



Image Matching

- Between query and web images.
 - Bag of words model.

$$\psi(b_i) = \min_j |h_q - h_{i,j}|, \qquad p(b_i|X) = \frac{sig(\psi(b_i))}{\sum_i sig(\psi(b_i))}$$



Fusing Text Processing and Image Matching



Fusing Text Processing and Image Matching

Probabilistic Late Fusion

$$p(b_i) = p(b_i|m_1).P(m_1) + p(b_i|m_2).P(m_2)$$

$$P(m_1) = \frac{n_t}{n_t + n_i}, P(m_2) = \frac{n_i}{n_t + n_i}$$



Verizon Wireless, Address: 355 5th Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15222 USER Rating: 2/5

Results









Results









Results



Computational Complexity

- Image Geo-localization: sub-linear in search + local feature extraction
- Video Geo-localization: sub-linear in search + local feature extraction + Bayesian filtering (matrix multiplication)
- **GPS-Tag Refinement:** sub-linear in search + closed form solution for Random Walks
- GMCP Matching: NP-hard, polynomial approximation: O(KL^2 + LK^δ), L:= # of clusters, K:= # of nodes
- **Object Detection:** DPM + Graph Matching

Semantic Cross-View Matching

F. Castalo, A. Zamir, R. Angst, S. Savarese



Semantic Wide-Baseline Matching



Semantic Map (GIS)



Semantic Map (GIS)



Topological and Semantic Matching


Semantic Segment Layout (SSL) features



Query Image



Query Image

Rectified Query Image + 15 Best Matchings







Query Image



Rectified Query Image + 15 Best Matchings















Experimental Results



Semantic Tree





STANFORD UNIVERSITY



Thank You!

zamir@cs.stanford.edu

A. Zamir, F. Castaldo, R. Angst, S. Savarese, G. Vaca, S. Ardeshir, M. Shah

• PAMI'14: Image Geo-localization Based on Multiple-NN Feature Matching Using Generalized Graphs

- CVPR'14: GPS-Tag Refinement using Random Walks with an Adaptive Damping Factor
- ECCV'14: GIS-Assisted Object Detection and Geospatial Localization
- ACM Multimedia'13: Visual Business Recognition A Multimodal Approach
- CVPR'12: City Scale Geo-spatial Trajectory Estimation of a Moving Camera
- ICMLA'11: Identification of Commercial Entities in Street View Imagery
- ECCV'10: Accurate Image Localization Based on Google Maps Street View
- In Submission'15: Semantic Cross-View Matching